

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,605	09/15/2003	Myriam Golembo	81408-4300	3940
28765 759	. 12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP			BORGEEST, CHRISTINA M	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1700 K STREET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1649	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/664,605	GOLEMBO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christina Borgeest	1649		

	Christina Borgeest	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff pitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final reject	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of te appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ul>	corresponding number of finally rej		the issues for
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 31 and 36-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 34,35 and 45-96.</li> </ul>		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a North date of filing a North date of the affidation of the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		,

Continuation of 3. NOTE: There is no support for the new limitation of claim 31, "provided that when Xdd is Ala, Xaa is Leu".

Continuation of 13. Other: As stated above, there is no support in the specification as originally filed for the new limitation of claim 31, namely, "provided that when Xdd is Ala, Xaa is Leu." Applicant indicated that the claims were amended as per the Examiner's suggestion. However, when such amendments were discussed during the interview (summary mailed 26 October 2006), the Examiner was under the impression that an explantion of support for such an amendment would be presented in writing with the after-final response. Such would complete the record and clarify any issues with regard to new matter. Without such accompanying explanation, the Examiner was unable to find clear support for the new claim limitations.

ELIZABETH KEMMERER
PRIMARY EXAMINER